Journal of Early Childhood Education and Teaching (JECET)

https://journal.bestscholar.id/index.php/jecet

ISSN: 0000-0000 EISSN: 0000-0000 Vol. 1, No. 1, Maret 2025, Page 19-25

Father Involvement in Parenting and Its Powerful Link to Prosocial Behavior in Early Childhood

Arsyizahma Wita^{1⊠}, Andres Villanueva²

¹Universitas Islam Negeri Mahmud Yunus Batusangkar, Indonesia ²University of San Carlos, Philippines

Doi: https://doi.org/oo.ooooo/jecet.oooo.ooo-oo CONTACT: $^{\boxtimes}$ arsyizahmawita@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Purpose – This study investigates the relationship between father involvement in parenting and the prosocial behavior of preschool children in Tanah Datar District. The low prosocial behaviors, such as helping, sharing, cooperating, and providing comfort to others, prompted the exploration of fatherly involvement as a possible influencing factor.

Design/methods/approach – A quantitative correlational approach was employed, with a sample of 194 children selected from a population of 1,128 using probability stratified cluster random sampling. Data were collected through Likert-scale questionnaires and analyzed using the product-moment correlation method with SPSS.

Findings – The results reveal a significant and strong positive correlation of 0.866 between father involvement in parenting and prosocial behavior in early childhood. This indicates that higher levels of father involvement are associated with greater prosocial behavior in children.

Research implications/limitations – The study's limitations include its cross-sectional design, which restricts causal inferences, and the reliance on self-reported data, which could introduce bias. Future research could expand on these findings by using longitudinal designs to better establish causality.

Originality/value – This research contributes valuable insights into the impact of father involvement on children's social development, particularly in a rural Indonesian context. The findings highlight the importance of fatherly engagement for fostering prosocial behaviors in early childhood, suggesting areas for future intervention and policy development.

3 OPEN ACCESS

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 21-02-2025 Revised: 02-03-2025 Accepted: 30-03-2025

KEYWORDS

Father Involvement, Prosocial Behavior; Early Childhood

Introduction

Human life is inherently social, where cooperation and helping one another are fundamental, even for those who are considered independent (Nurhayati et al., 2023). As social beings, humans cannot prioritize individual ego but must consider the desires and needs of others. Therefore, mutual assistance plays a crucial role in daily interactions between individuals (Matondang, 2017). A key foundation for creating positive social relationships is prosocial behavior, which fosters a compassionate and supportive environment among individuals (Haque & Rahmasari, 2013). Prosocial behavior refers to actions that benefit others, either physically or psychologically, performed voluntarily (Nugraha, 2020). This behavior strengthens emotional bonds within a community, leading to a more comfortable environment for sharing both joy and sorrow (Munajat, 2022). Hanifah, (2023) further supports this view by stating that prosocial behavior involves helping, sharing, cooperating, and comforting others. These actions contribute to building social relationships that support and strengthen social networks within a group or society.

Prosocial behavior can be observed across all age groups, from children to adults, as individuals are inherently social beings and part of a community. For children, prosocial behavior is crucial for their social development (Rudi et al., 2022). Developing social skills early helps children understand their environment. Khasanah & Setiawan (2022) explain that prosocial behavior includes caring actions like helping and sharing, which are influenced by parenting practices and parental responses, directly impacting a child's prosocial behavior.

The development of a child is significantly influenced by parental interaction, as parents serve as the closest role models (Muslihatun & Santi, 2022). Involvement of fathers in parenting plays a pivotal role in the growth and development of children (Munajat, 2022). According to Septiani & Nasution, (2017), father involvement refers to the active role a father takes in their child's life, encompassing physical, emotional, and cognitive dimensions. This view is reinforced by Wijayanti & Fauziah (2020), who define father involvement as the active participation in nurturing, educating, and guiding children, with an emphasis on regular and intense engagement across cognitive, emotional, and behavioral aspects of parenting.

In Indonesia, however, father involvement in parenting remains relatively low (Petren et al., 2021). According to KPAI (Indonesian Child Protection Commission), fathers spend only about one hour per day communicating with their children. The lack of understanding about parenting practices results in minimal awareness about the importance of fatherly involvement in child development, with many fathers primarily viewing their role as breadwinners.

Preliminary observations in Tanah Datar District's kindergartens revealed several social challenges among children, such as failure to share, reluctance to take turns, and disengagement from peers, indicating low prosocial behaviors. These findings align with (Rudi et al., 2022), who suggest that individualism and social withdrawal in children may stem from a lack of empathy and care for others and their surroundings. Additionally, Khasanah & Fauziah, (2021) found that limited interaction with peers leads to a decline in children's socialization skills, indicating developmental issues in emotional regulation, partly due to insufficient parental communication.

Previous studies, such as Haque & Rahmasari, (2013), have explored the relationship between father involvement and emotional intelligence, suggesting further exploration into the issues in school settings. Furthermore Hidayati et al., (2011) examined child-rearing practices from the father's perspective, and future studies could investigate children's perspectives to complement these findings.

This research is significant as it contributes to the understanding of the relationship between father involvement in parenting and children's prosocial behavior. The findings will provide a strong foundation for developing intervention programs aimed at enhancing father involvement, ultimately fostering a supportive family environment that positively influences children's social and emotional development. Additionally, this research may promote gender equality in parenting roles. The main hypothesis of this study is that there is a relationship between father involvement in parenting and prosocial behavior in children.

Methods

This study employed a quantitative research approach with a correlational design to examine the relationship between selected variables. The research was conducted across multiple early childhood education institutions, including kindergartens (TK) and Raudhatul Athfal (RA), located in Tanah Datar Regency, West Sumatra, Indonesia.

Population and Sample

The population consisted of 1,128 early childhood learners enrolled in TK and RA within the regency. A sample of 194 children was selected using stratified cluster random sampling to ensure representation across institutional types and geographical zones. This sampling technique allowed for a more comprehensive and proportional representation of the population.

Data Collection Instruments and Procedures

Data were collected using two primary instruments: a Likert-scale-based questionnaire and structured observation sheets. The instruments were designed to assess prosocial behavior in early childhood learners, covering domains such as cooperation, empathy, helping behavior, and respect for others. The items were carefully constructed to align with developmental indicators and learning outcomes relevant to early childhood education.

Instrument validity was established through item-total correlation analysis. Each item's correlation coefficient was examined to ensure content alignment and discriminative power. Reliability testing was conducted using Cronbach's Alpha, and the results demonstrated acceptable internal consistency, indicating the instruments' stability and reliability.

Data Collection Timeline and Ethical Considerations

The research was conducted between July and December 2024. Prior to data collection, necessary research permits and ethical approvals were obtained from relevant institutional authorities. Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from school administrators and guardians to ensure ethical compliance.

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using appropriate inferential statistical techniques to test the relationships between the identified variables. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize participant characteristics and variable distributions, while correlational analysis was employed to determine the strength and direction of relationships between variables. All analyses were conducted using standard statistical software, and results were interpreted in line with established thresholds for significance and reliability. This methodological approach was designed to ensure the validity, reliability, and generalizability of the research findings, thereby supporting the study's objective of contributing to evidence-based practice in early childhood education.

Result

After conducting a series of calculations and data tests, the researcher analyzes the gathered data.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

| Statistik | Father Involvement | Procosial Behaviour |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Sample | 194 | 194 |
| Mean | 117,32 | 97,58 |
| Standart Deviasi | 5.262 | 8.029 |
| Max | 128 | 115 |
| Min | 101 | 85 |

Table 2 shows that the average value of father involvement is 117.32, while the average value of prosocial behavior is 97.58. Overall, the minimum value of father involvement is 101, and the maximum value is 128. Meanwhile, for prosocial behavior, the minimum value is 85, and the maximum value is 115. The variance or distribution of students' scores, as indicated by the standard deviation, decreased from 5.262 to 8.029.

Table 2. One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

| One-Sai | mple Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | | RES_1 |
| N | | 194 |
| Normal Parameters ^{a,b} | Mean | .0000000 |
| Normal Parameters | Std. Deviation | 6.04936199 |
| | Absolute | ,051 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Positive | ,047 |
| | Negative | -,051 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z | | ,051 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | | ,200 |

The second analysis focuses on normality testing, which aims to determine whether the data is normally distributed. Kadir in (Hanifah, 2023) study explains that the decision rule for this data is: if Ho is rejected, the data is normally distributed; if H1 is accepted, the data is not normally distributed. The normality test shows that the significance value (Asymp. Sig) is 0.200, which is greater than 0.05, indicating that the data is normally distributed.

Table 3. Test of Homogeneity of Variances

| Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. | |
|------------------|-----|-----|------|--|
| 1,098 | 21 | 167 | ,354 | |

The basis for drawing conclusions from the tested data is as follows: a) If the probability value > 0.05, the data is said to be homogeneous, b) If the probability value < 0.05, the data is not homogeneous.

Based on Table 3 above, it can be seen that the value obtained from the homogeneity test is 0.354, and it can be concluded that this value meets the requirement to be said to have equal variance.

Table 4. Correlations

| | | Father Involvement | Procosial Behaviour |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Father Involvement | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .866** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 |
| | N | 194 | 194 |
| Procosial Behaviour | Pearson Correlation | .866** | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | • |
| | N | 194 | 194 |
| | | | |

The decision rule is that if the significance is > 0.05, then H1 is rejected and H0 is accepted; if the significance is < 0.05, then H1 is accepted and H0 is rejected. In the calculation of rhitung and rtabel, the decision rule is: if rhitung < rtabel, H1 is rejected and H0 is accepted; if rhitung > rtabel, H1 is accepted and H0 is rejected. Based on the hypothesis test results, the value of rhitung is 0.866, which is greater than the value of rtabel (0.141). Thus, it can be concluded that there is a positive relationship between the variable of father involvement in parenting and the variable of prosocial behavior in early childhood.

Discussion

The findings of this study reveal a statistically significant and strongly positive correlation between father involvement in parenting and prosocial behavior in early childhood (r = 0.866, p < 0.01). This suggests that as fathers become more actively engaged in caregiving roles—such as emotional support, play interactions, and disciplinary involvement—there is a concurrent enhancement in prosocial behaviors among young children, such as empathy, cooperation, sharing, and helping.

These results align with existing literature which emphasizes the unique and complementary role fathers play in early childhood development. For example, research by Lamb and Lewis (2013) highlights that paternal engagement—particularly through consistent, warm, and supportive interactions—has been positively associated with children's social competence and emotional regulation. A meta-analysis by Allen and Daly (2007) further supports this notion, indicating that children with involved fathers are more likely to exhibit higher levels of prosocial behavior and reduced behavioral problems compared to those with less-involved paternal figures.

The strong correlation found in this study also mirrors the findings of recent empirical research by Cabrera et al. (2018), who found that father-child interactions that are responsive and stimulating are positively linked with prosocial development in early years. Similarly, a study by Yogman et al. (2016) asserted that paternal presence

contributes significantly to the child's capacity for empathy and social adaptation, often through modeling cooperative behaviors and fostering moral reasoning.

Notably, none of the 194 respondents were categorized in the "very low" range for prosocial behavior or in the category of "very close" father involvement. This distribution indicates a moderate-to-high baseline of paternal presence and child prosocial tendencies within the studied population, which may reflect evolving cultural norms regarding fatherhood roles in Indonesian families, particularly in the context of shifting gender dynamics and parenting expectations in the post-pandemic era (Putra & Sumarni, 2022).

While the findings are consistent with prior studies, this research contributes further by emphasizing that father involvement must be viewed not merely as physical presence but as active, meaningful engagement in the child's emotional and social experiences. This nuanced view is supported by Pleck's (2010) conceptualization of fatherhood, which distinguishes between accessibility, engagement, and responsibility as critical dimensions of paternal involvement.

Future research is encouraged to investigate the mediating factors—such as father's emotional intelligence, marital satisfaction, socio-economic status, and cultural parenting beliefs—that may influence the strength of this relationship. It would also be valuable to explore how co-parenting dynamics or maternal gatekeeping practices interact with father involvement to shape children's socio-emotional trajectories.

Conclusion

The conclusion of this study summarizes the key findings, which indicate a significant positive relationship between paternal involvement in parenting and prosocial behavior in early childhood in Tanah Datar Regency, with a strong correlation of o.866. This demonstrates that closer paternal involvement can enhance children's prosocial behavior. The findings suggest that promoting fatherly engagement in parenting can have a positive impact on child development. In practice, efforts should be made to encourage fathers to become more actively involved in nurturing their children. For future research, further exploration is needed into additional factors that may influence paternal involvement and its broader effects on child development. Additionally, investigating strategies to increase paternal participation in parenting can contribute to improving child outcomes.

References

- Allen, S., & Daly, K. (2007). The effects of father involvement: An updated research summary of the evidence. Centre for Families, Work & Well-Being, University of Guelph.
- Cabrera, N. J., Volling, B. L., & Barr, R. (2018). Fathers are parents, too! Widening the lens on parenting for children's development. Child Development Perspectives, 12(3), 152–157. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12275
- Hanifah, S. (2023). Hubungan pola asuh ayah dengan perilaku prososial anak usia 5-6 tahun di TK se-Kelurahan Cinangka-Depok [Undergraduate thesis, Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta].

- Haque, E. A., & Rahmasari, D. (2013). Hubungan antara keterlibatan ayah dalam pengasuhan dan kecerdasan emosional dengan perilaku prososial pada remaja. Character: Jurnal Penelitian Psikologi, 2(1), 1–9.
- Hidayati, F., Kaleoti, D. V. S., & Karyono. (2011). Peran ayah dalam pengasuhan anak. Jurnal Psikologi Undip, 9(1), 1–9.
- Khasanah, B. L., & Fauziah, P. (2021). Pola asuh ayah dalam perilaku prososial anak usia dini. Jurnal Obsesi: Jurnal Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini, 5(1), 909–922. https://doi.org/10.31004/obsesi.v5i1.627
- Khasanah, I. I., & Setiawan, D. (2022). Pembentukan karakter siswa sekolah dasar melalui lagu penguatan pendidikan karakter. Jurnal Basicedu.
- Lamb, M. E., & Lewis, C. (2013). Father-child relationships. In N. Cabrera & C. S. Tamis-LeMonda (Eds.), Handbook of father involvement: Multidisciplinary perspectives (2nd ed., pp. 119–134). Routledge.
- Matondang, E. S. (2017). Perilaku prososial (prosocial behavior) anak usia dini dan pengelolaan kelas melalui pengelompokan usia rangkap (multiage grouping). EduHumaniora: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Kampus Cibiru, 8(1), 34–41. https://doi.org/10.17509/eh.v8i1.5120
- Munajat, A. (2022). Pola asuh ayah terhadap perilaku prososial anak. Jurnal Realita Bimbingan dan Konseling (JRbk), 7(April), 1611–1621.
- Nugraha, R. A. (2020). Perilaku prososial dan pengembangan keterampilan (Issue April). Badan Penerbit Universitas Pancasakti Tegal.
- Nurhayati, N., Asty, S., & Karlina, E. (2023). Pengaruh peran pengasuhan ayah terhadap perilaku prososial anak usia 4-6 tahun di Perumahan Citra Pandawa Asri tahun 2023. Jurnal Adzkiya, 7(2), 16–22.
- Petren, R., Ferraro, A., Zimmermann, M., & Others. (2021). A cross-lagged panel model of coparental interaction and father involvement shortly after divorce. Family Relations. https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12508
- Pleck, J. H. (2010). Paternal involvement: Revised conceptualization and theoretical linkages with child outcomes. In M. E. Lamb (Ed.), The role of the father in child development (5th ed., pp. 58–93). Wiley.
- Putra, D. P., & Sumarni, L. (2022). Peran ayah dalam pengasuhan anak di masa pascapandemi: Studi pada keluarga muda di Indonesia. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Keluarga, 14(2), 121–134. https://doi.org/10.24832/jpk.v14i2.4829
- Rudi, R., Hanita, H., & Syfriana, R. (2022). Hubungan keterlibatan orang tua terhadap perilaku prososial pada anak usia 5-6 tahun. Jurnal Program Studi PGRA, 8(1), 9–17.
- Yogman, M., Garfield, C. F., & Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health. (2016). Fathers' roles in the care and development of their children: The role of pediatricians. Pediatrics, 138(1), e20161128. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-1128